UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		Jorge Guzman-Lucero	Case Number:	11-6340M
and wa	s repres	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a de ented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance at pending trial in this case.		
		FINDING	S OF FACT	
I find by	y a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:		
	X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United State	tes or lawfully adr	nitted for permanent residence.
	X	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the	e United States or	in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United S to assure his/her future appearance.	tates from which	ne/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has substantial family ties to Mexico.	s no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of the defendant using numer	ous aliases.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcer	ment contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.
at the ti	The Co ime of th	urt incorporates by reference the material finding he hearing in this matter, except as noted in the r	s of the Pretrial Se ecord. ONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will fle No condition or combination of conditions will re DIRECTIONS REGA	ee. easonably assure	the appearance of the defendant as required.
appeal. of the L	ctions fac . The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the custody of the Attornicility separate, to the extent practicable, from persifendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunitates or on request of an attorney for the Governme United States Marshal for the purpose of an appreciation.	ey General or his/ sons awaiting or se ty for private cons nent, the person ir opearance in conr	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour a charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the section with a court proceeding.
APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Codeliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to Court.				the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to
Service	es suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third pa ently in advance of the hearing before the Distri potential third party custodian.	rty is to be consid ct Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
	DATE	D this 14 th day of July, 2011.		
		Cour)	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge